# Continuous leaching and analysis and high temperature thermodynamic calculations to predict separation of valuable elements from biomass and waste ash streams

#### Daniel Lindberg\*, Emil Vainio, Patrik Yrjas

Johan Gadolin Process Chemistry Centre, Piispankatu 8, FI-20500 Turku, Finland \*Daniel.lindberg@abo.fi

### Abstract

Recovery of precious trace elements from different industrial waste or side streams is important in realizing the goals of the circular economy. Various ash streams from thermal conversion processes of solid fuels (e.g. coal, biomass, municipal solid waste) can contain high levels of toxic trace elements that need to be immobilized or collected before the ashes can be further utilized or safely disposed of. Valuable elements can be separated during the combustion process based on the volatilization and subsequent condensation of their compounds, as well as being separated in post-combustion processes, through either thermal treatment or leaching treatments with various solvents.

In the present study, the speciation and leaching behavior of the ash forming elements from combustion of MSW and demolition wood, with special focus on Co, Cu, and Sb, were studied using thermodynamic modelling and a novel continuous leaching and analysis method. The leaching tests were done in a flow-through reactor combined with an ICP-OES that enables fast analysis and determination of elements leached and the leaching rates. Different fly ash fractions were collected at different conditions and with different Cl-content in the fuel (demolition wood).

The thermodynamic predictions showed that Sb has the highest potential to be separated and enriched in the fly ash streams for further treatment and recovery. In the leaching tests, only Sb was leached in pure  $H_2O$ , whereas Co, Cu and Sb were leachable in a HNO<sub>3</sub> solution. The leached Cu was shown to depend on the cyclone temperature, where the ash was collected from, with an increasing leachability at lower cyclone temperatures.

In conclusion, it was shown that thermodynamic modeling of high temperature processes and continuous leaching tests can be a useful tool to predict the ash behavior both during combustion and in the post combustion treatment of the ash.

### 1. Introduction

Different types of ash fractions and various types of APC (air pollution control) residues are formed in thermal conversion of waste-derived fuels. The solid residues from WtE plants may contain harmful components, such as toxic metal compounds and organic micropollutants, which may lead to problems in the utilization or safe disposal of the material. Various treatment methods and processes can be used to reduce the leachability of harmful residue constituents, destroy toxic organic compounds (organic micropollutants), reduce residue volume, and produce material suitable for utilization.

Van der Sloot et al. [1], Sabbas et al. [2], Quina et al. [3], Whiticar and Ralph [4], and Zacco et al. [5] have recently reviewed different approaches in the management of the ash and solid APC residues from waste combustion. The two main approaches are safe disposal and recycling

or reuse of the ash. In order to safely dispose of or reuse/recycle the ash, separate treatment processes are often required.

The main treatment methods for ash and APC residues can be divided into three groups: 1) separation process (physical or chemical); 2) stabilization and solidification; 3) thermal treatment. Table 1 gives an overview of the principles and methods for treatment of MSW ash, based on the reviews of the International Ash Working Group [6], van der Sloot et al. [1], Sabbas et al. [2], and Whiticar and Ralph [4].

Lindberg et al. [7] recently reviewed different thermal treatment methods of MSW ash residues. The main categories for thermal treatment are vitrification, fusion/melting, and sintering. The thermal methods are mainly differentiated based on the characteristics of the process product, rather than the process itself. In vitrification, a glassy phase is produced, whereas in fusion processes, a crystalline or heterogeneous product is formed by melting the ash or residue. In sintering, the residues are heated to achieve a reconfiguration of solid materials.

In addition, thermal separation processes may also be combined with the above-mentioned processes to vaporize harmful trace elements from the bulk material. Thermal treatment processes are among the best methods to destroy harmful organic compounds, such as dioxins and furans, present in the solid residues.

Treatment Principle	Processes/methods and Unit Operations				
Chemical and physical separation	Washing				
	Chemical precipitation				
	Crystallization/evaporation				
	Ion exchange				
	Density and particle size based separation				
	Distillation				
	Electrolysis				
	Electrokinetic separation				
	Magnetic separation				
	Eddy-current separation				
	Chemical extraction/mobilization				
	Adsorption				
	Solidification/stabilization with hydraulic				
Stabilization and/or solidification	binders and pore-filling additives				
	Chemical stabilisation				
	Ageing/weathering				
Thermal treatment	Sintering				
	Vitrification				
	Melting				
	Vaporization/condensation				

Table 1. Overview of principles and methods of treatment of ash residuesfrom WtE plants [1, 2, 4, 6].

The elements Co, Cu, and Sb are of special interest in the present study for assessing the viability to recycle these elements from the ash. It has been concluded that these elements often

occur in the waste ashes at levels higher compared to other sources, and therefore may have a potential to be recovered from the ash [8-10]. In the present study, where ash from demolition wood fuel was studied, Cu had the highest concentration in the ash and Co had the lowest.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1 Procedure for continuous leaching and analysis of ashes

A procedure for continuous analysis of elements leached from fly ashes using different leaching agents has been developed previously [8, 11]. This method enables for fast analysis and determination of elements leached using different solvents. A scheme of the procedure is shown in Figure 1. Similar setups have been used before in chemical fractionation studies of fuels [12, 13].

A flow through reactor was used in the procedure, however various reactor types can be used. Using the flow through reactor, the solvent is pumped through a plug of ash and the elements leached are analyzed continuously using ICP-OES. The ash is kept in place with wool buds and teflon filters were used to avoid particles from escaping the reactor. Water was used as the first leaching agent to determine the water soluble elements. 0.50 g of ash was placed in the reactor in the experiments and the flow through the ash was 0.6 ml/min. A total of 22 elements were analyzed every 16 s, those were: Ag, Al, As, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, Pb, S, Sb, Se, Si, V, and Zn. After 30 min of leaching with water, a solution of 5% HNO<sub>3</sub> was pumped through the ash for 2 h 10 min. The weight loss during the leaching procedure was determined and the residual ash was analyzed using SEM/EDX. For the weight loss determination, the ash was dried in a furnace at 105°C for 24 h.



Figure 1. Scheme of the procedure for continuous leaching and analysis of ashes using a flow through reactor and ICP-OES.

2.2 Ashes tested

Five ashes were tested and the Cu, Co, Sb contents are given in Table 1. The ashes originated from test runs made with the 20 kW bubbling fluidized bed reactor at VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland in Jyväskylä, Finland. The reactor setup has previously been described by Aho et al. [14]. Ash T1 was from the co-combustion of demolition wood and plastic cables, and ashes T2-T5 were from combustion of demolition wood. The cyclone temperatures were adjusted for the different cases to: T1 and T2 650°C, T3 600°C, T4 500°C, and T5 450°C. The ashes were first leached with water for 30 min and then with a 5% HNO<sub>3</sub> water solution for 2 h and 10 min. Further, a sixth test was done with the ash designated T5 where it was leached for 24 h with water before the HNO<sub>3</sub> step. This was done to understand how the leaching of the water-soluble elements affects the acid leaching step of Sb, Co, and Co.

-	Ash	Cu (mg/kg)	Co (mg/kg)	Sb (mg/kg)		
-	CFB T1	990	48	390		
	CFB T2	1200	51	350		
	CFB T3	1400	53	400		
	CFB T4	1500	54	400		
	CFB T5	1400	52	370		

Table 1. Cu, Co, and Sb contents in the ashes

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Ashes T1-T5

The leaching behavior of ashes T1-T5 are similar. Some Sb is leached during the first 30 min. of water leaching, however, no Cu or Co was leached. When HNO<sub>3</sub> leaching starts at 30 min., Cu and Co are also leached. The leaching rate is high for the first 15 min. with HNO<sub>3</sub>. The cumulative amounts of leached Co, Cu and Sb as a function of time for T1 are shown in Fig. 2. The ashes T2-T5 show similar behavior. The composition of the solid ash before and after the leaching are also shown for the corresponding ash. The fraction of leached Co was between 50 and 56%, and for Cu it varied between 40 and 57%. Sb showed slightly larger variations, with the leached fraction varying between 40 and 74%. The results for each ash is shown in Fig. 4. It was observed that all P, S, and Cl were leached out in the tests.



Figure 2. Leaching results of Co, Cu, and Sb of T1 ash in ascending order. The ashes were leached with water for the first 30 min and then with a 5% HNO<sub>3</sub>solution. The graph on the left show the cumulative amount of leached Co, Cu, and Sb and the graph on the right show the chemical composition of the original ash and the residual ash after leaching.

#### 3.2 Ash T5 with 24h water leaching before HNO3

One experiment with a longer, 24 h, water-leaching step was made. During the 24h leaching the elements were not analyzed continuously. 40% of Sb was leached in the water leaching step, however, no Co or Cu was leached out (Figure ). Significant amounts of Ca, K, Na, and S were also leached in the water leaching. After the water leaching, the ash was leached with 5% HNO<sub>3</sub>. The acid leaching seems to be enhanced by the long water leaching step. The leaching of Co, Cu, and Sb is fast (Fig 4), and the total percentages of Co, Cu, and Sb leached were: 67, 66, and 79%.



Figure 3. Elements leached during the 24 h water leaching.



Figure 4. Leaching results with 5% HNO3-solution of Co, Cu, and Sb of T5 ash. The ash was leached with water for the first 24 h (results in Figure 3) and then with a 5% HNO3-solution. The graph on the left shows SEM-EDX analysis of T5 ash before and after the leaching procedure.

#### 3.3 Effect of cyclone temperature on leachability of Cu

Figure 5 shows the leachability of Cu for the different ashes. It seems that Cu is more easily leached for the ashes from the lower cyclone temperature cases.



Figure 5 Leaching of Cu as a function of cyclone temperature.

#### 3.4 Speciation of Cu, Co, Sb in ash

The speciation of Cu, Co, and Sb can be determined with various experimental methods, such as X-ray diffraction based on crystallographic structure or SEM/EDXA based on the chemical composition. However, due to the low concentrations of Cu, Co, and Sb in the ash, it is often difficult to identify phases containing these elements. Cu typically occurs in higher concentrations compared to Co and Sb, and is therefore the most likely to identify in a phase.

Talonen [15] studied the speciation of several trace elements in MSW combustion and gasification by using thermodynamic modeling to predict the phase equilibrium and the composition of the condensed phases and the gas phase at temperatures varying between 200 °C and 1600 °C. The study emphasized the differences when using different thermodynamic databases.

Cobalt was predicted to occur mainly as solid CoSO<sub>4</sub>, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, or CoO and gaseous CoCl<sub>2</sub> and Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> at oxidizing conditions, whereas different cobalt sulfides or metallic Co are the stable solid phases at reducing conditions.

Copper was predicted to occur mainly as solid CuSO<sub>4</sub>, CuO or CuO·CuSO<sub>4</sub> phase and gaseous CuCl as well as minor amounts of other gaseous copper halides at oxidizing conditions. At reducing conditions, solid Cu<sub>2</sub>S or metallic Cu is predicted to be the main stable phases.

Antimony was predicted to be stable mainly as solid Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at lower temperatures and as various gaseous Sb-O compounds at higher temperatures (SbO, Sb<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) at oxidizing conditions. At reducing conditions, solid Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is stable upt to around 400 °C, with gaseous Sb, SbCl or SbO are the main stable compounds at higher temperatures.

Lassesson & Steenari [16] have analyzed the speciation of copper in bottom ash, cyclone ash and filter ash from a MSW-fired BFB boiler using synchrotron based X-ray absorption spectrometry. They concluded that the most common copper compounds in the bed ash were metallic copper, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, CuO, and mixed oxides, such as CuCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The cyclone ash contained a mix of metallic copper, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl, Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, and possibly CuO. Copper sulfate was the major species in the filter ash together with hydroxides and chlorides. Lasseson et al. [17] analyzed the speciation of Cu in two different MSWI fly ashes, one rich in Ca and Cl with a Cu-concentration at 5.4 g / kg dry ash (Ash A), and the second fly ash with high levels of Ca, K, Na, S, Si, and Cl, containing 2.5 g Cu / kg dry ash (Ash B). The copper speciation in Ash A was mainly CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, with minor amounts of CuClOH, whereas Ash B contained a mainly Cu<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and minor amounts of metallic Cu, Cu<sub>2</sub>S, and CuO.

Miravet et al. [18] determined that most of the soluble antimony in coal fly ash was in the form of Sb(V), with only minor amounts of Sb(III) occurring in the leachate.

In this study, thermodynamic predictions of the speciation of ash-forming elements have therefore been made to estimate in which form Cu, Co, and Sb can be found in the ash. The predictions were made with the thermodynamic software package Factsage [19] version 6.4 using the FactPS database for thermodynamic data of the phases and compounds that may form. The estimations were made based on the ash composition of the BFB and CFB ashes given in Vainio et al. [8]. The calculations give the stable phases at 25 °C, for both dry ash and for ash with 10 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O, simulating slightly hydrated ash. The predicted phase composition is given in table 3.

BFB1_dry BFB1_10% H2O			BFB2_dry BFB2_10% H2O			CFB ESP_dry CFB ESP_10% H2			)		
Al <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>5</sub>	21.7	KAl <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>	25.1	$Ca_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	22.5	$Na_2Ca_3Si_6O_{16}$	16.4	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}$	21.7	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	22.3
Na2Ca3Si6O16	18.8	$Na_2Ca_3Si_6O_{16}\\$	18.6	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	19.3	CaSO <sub>4</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>2</sub>	14.7	Ca <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	19.7	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	18.1
KAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	17.3	CaAl <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O)	18.0	CaMgSiO <sub>4</sub>	10.0	$Ca_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	13.6	Ca <sub>3</sub> MgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	16.9	Na <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>6</sub> O <sub>16</sub>	14.0
CaMgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	10.9	H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	15.3	Ca <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	9.7	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	8.8	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	11.3	CaSO <sub>4</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>2</sub>	10.0
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.1	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.0	Na2Ca2Si3O9	7.4	CaCl <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>6</sub>	8.4	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.5	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	6.8
Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	7.6	$Mg_5Al_2Si_3O_{10}(OH)_8$	5.6	KCaCl <sub>3</sub>	7.3	$Mg_5Al_2Si_3O_{10}(OH)_8$	6.5	CaMg <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>16</sub> O <sub>27</sub>	6.6	$Mg_5Al_2Si_3O_{10}(OH)_8$	6.6
SiO <sub>2</sub>	7.3	SiO <sub>2</sub>	3.1	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.1	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	6.4	ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3.0	CaCl <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>6</sub>	3.8
CaSiTiO <sub>5</sub>	2.2	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	2.0	ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6.4	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	5.9	KCl	2.8	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> O)	3.7
CaSO <sub>4</sub>	2.1	$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.2	Na2Ca3Si6O16	4.5	$ZnAl_2O_4$	5.8	Na2Ca2Si3O9	2.6	$Ca_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	3.3
Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.3	Others	2.9	Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.8	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	5.6	NaCl	2.2	ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2.7
Others	2.6			Others	3.6	KCl	3.4	Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.2	KCl	2.5
						Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.6	(CaO) <sub>2</sub> (PbO <sub>2</sub> )	1.2	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	2.3
						Others	2.7	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.0	$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.1
								Others	2.2	Others	2.7
CuO	0.06	Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.07	CuO	0.3	Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.3	CuO	0.19	Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub>	0.21
Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.004	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.004	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.003	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.003	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004

Table 3. Predicted ash phases for the three different ashes at 25  $\infty$ .

The predictions of the main phases show that various Ca-Al-Fe silicates, as well as CaSO<sub>4</sub> and gypsum, are the dominating phases. The main highly soluble phases are KCl, NaCl,  $CaCl_2(H_2O)_{6}$ , and KCaCl<sub>3</sub>.

The predicted speciation of Cu is CuO in completely dry ash, and  $Cu(OH)_2$  in humid ash, whereas Sb occurs as Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in all cases and Cu occurs as Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in all cases.

Similar predictions for the ash speciation at 500 °C give similar results for the bulk ash composition (Table 4). The difference between dry ash and humid ash is minimal due to the instability of hydrated species at these temperatures. The predicted speciation of Cu, Co, and Sb is slightly different compared to the low-temperature case. Sb occurs as Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, whereas Cu is predicted to form either CuO or CuO·Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (or CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, cuprospinel), and Co is predicted to form Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or CoO·Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (or CoCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>).

BFB1_dry BFB1_10% H2O		BFB2_dry		BFB2_10% H2O		CFB ESP_dry		CFB ESP_10% H2O			
$CaAl_2Si_2O_8$	29.1	$CaAl_2Si_2O_8$	30.2	$Ca_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	22.3	$Ca_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	22.4	$Ca_3MgSi_2O_8$	21.5	Ca <sub>3</sub> MgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	21.5
KAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	17.0	KAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	19.3	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	19.3	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	19.3	Ca <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>7</sub>	18.7	Ca <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>7</sub>	18.7
NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	16.7	NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	18.2	CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	11.6	CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	11.6	Ca <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	13.2	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	13.2
CaMgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	10.5	CaMgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	10.8	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}$	9.7	$Ca_3Fe_2Si_3O_{12}\\$	9.7	Ca <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	13.1	Ca <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	13.0
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.0	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.7	CaMgSiO <sub>4</sub>	8.5	CaMgSiO <sub>4</sub>	8.5	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	10.3	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	10.3
Ca <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	7.6	$SiO_2$	3.6	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.1	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.1	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.5	CaTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.5
SiO <sub>2</sub>	3.2	CaSiTiO <sub>5</sub>	2.4	ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6.4	ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6.4	ZnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3.9	ZnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3.9
CaSiTiO <sub>5</sub>	2.2	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	2.1	NaCl	3.6	NaCl	3.6	NaCl	2.9	NaCl	2.9
CaSO <sub>4</sub>	2.0	$Mg_2Al_4Si_5O_{18} \\$	1.4	KC1	3.0	KCl	3.1	KCl	1.9	KCl	1.9
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.3	$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.4	CaMgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2.2	CaMgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	2.1	$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.2	Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.2
Others	2.2	Others	1.6	Ca10(PO4)6F2	1.8	$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2$	1.8	(PbO)(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	1.1	(PbO)(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	1.1
				KCaCl <sub>3</sub>	1.5	KCaCl <sub>3</sub>	1.4	Others	4.1	Others	4.0
				K <sub>2</sub> PbCl <sub>4</sub>	1.0	K <sub>2</sub> PbCl <sub>4</sub>	1.0				
				Others	1.6	Others	1.6				
(CuO)(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.17	(CuO)(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.16	CuO	0.29	CuO	0.3	(CuO)(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.6	(CuO)(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.6
Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.004	(CoO)(Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.01	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	$Sb_2O_5$	0.02	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	$Sb_2O_5$	0.02
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.003	Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.004

As a summary, Cu, Co, and Sb are predicted to form oxide phases in the studied ashes, or possibly  $Cu(OH)_2$  if the ash is hydrated. None of the metals were predicted to form silicates, sulfates or halides.

#### 4. Summary and conclusions

Five ashes were tested (T1-T5) for leaching various ash elements. The ashes were collected from test runs with the VTT BFB reactor. Ash T1 originated from co-combustion of demolition wood and plastic cables, while the ashes T2-T5 came from combustion of demolition wood. The main emphasis was laid on leaching of Co, Cu, and Sb, however, also 19 other elements were simultaneously analyzed every 16 s. Water was used as the first leaching agent to determine the water soluble elements. 0.50 g of ash was used in the experiments and the flow through the ash was 0.6 ml/min. After 30 min of leaching with water, a solution of 5% HNO<sub>3</sub> was pumped through the ash for 2 h 10 min. Only some Sb was leached during the water leaching rate was faster during the first 15 min with acid. The leaching behaviour was similar for all the ashes (Fig 6). T5 was also tested using a 24 h water leaching step before the acid leaching. 40% of Sb was leached out during this step. The acid leaching step seemed to the normal leaching water leaching step, with higher Co and Cu leached out compared to the normal leaching

procedure. Leaching out the water soluble elements before the acid step may also have a positive effect on recovering the elements from the leachate, due to a less complex solution.



Figure 6. Co, Cu, and Sb leached during the leaching procedure.

A procedure for the continuous analysis of elements leached from fly ashes using different leaching agents has been developed. This method enables fast analysis and determination of elements leached and the leaching rates. A flow through reactor is used in the procedure, however various reactor types can be used. Using the flow through cell, the leaching agents is pumped through a plug of ash and the elements leached are analyzed using ICP-OES. Different solvents can be used in the procedure. Additionally the temperature in the cell can be adjusted. The method enables for rapid testing of different parameters with the on-line analysis of the leached elements. The method can be used to find optimal parameters for leaching various elements.

## Acknowledgments

The study was performed within the ARVI-Material Value Chains Research Program, coordinated by CLIC Innovation Oy and financed by Tekes – the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation.

## References

[1] H.A. van der Sloot, D.S. Kosson, O. Hjelmar, Characteristics, treatment and utilization of residues from municipal waste incineration, Waste Management, 21 (2001) 753-765.

[2] T. Sabbas, A. Polettini, R. Pomi, T. Astrup, O. Hjelmar, P. Mostbauer, G. Cappai, G. Magel, S. Salhofer, C. Speiser, S. Heuss-Assbichler, R. Klein, P. Lechner, Management of municipal solid waste incineration residues, Waste Management, 23 (2003) 61-88.

[3] M.J. Quina, J.C. Bordado, R.M. Quinta-Ferreira, Treatment and use of air pollution control residues from MSW incineration: An overview, Waste Management, 28 (2008) 2097-2121.

[4] D.M. Whiticar, J. Ralph, Waste to Energy. A Technical Review of Municipal Solid Waste Thermal Treatment Practices - Final Report, <u>http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/epd/mun-</u> <u>waste/reports/pdf/BCMOE-WTE-Emissions-final.pdf</u>, in, Stantec Consulting Ltd., 2011, pp. 339.

[5] A. Zacco, L. Borgese, A. Gianoncelli, R.P.W.J. Struis, L.E. Depero, E. Bontempi, Review of fly ash inertisation treatments and recycling, Environ. Chem. Lett., 12 (2014) 153-175.

[6] C. IAWG (International Ash Working Group), A.J., Eighmy, T.T., Hartle'n, O., Kosson, D., Sawell, S.E., van der Sloot, H., Vehlow, J.), Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Residues. Studies in Environmental Science, Elsevier, 1997.

[7] D. Lindberg, C. Molin, M. Hupa, Thermal treatment of solid residues from WtE units: A review, Waste Management, 37 (2015) 82-94.

[8] E. Vainio, D. Lindberg, P. Yrjas, Characterisation and on-line measurements of ashes – Continuous leaching analysis of ashes, in: Arvi - material value chains, project report, https://portal.cleen.fi/\_layouts/IWXmlPublications.aspx?Source=ARVI&FileId=265, 2015.

[9] D. Lindberg, E. Vainio, P. Yrjas, Critical review of thermodynamic data for heavy metals in aqueous solutions and thermodynamic calculations of solubility of heavy metal compounds in ash, in: Arvi - material value chains, project report,

https://portal.cleen.fi/\_layouts/IWXmlPublications.aspx?Source=ARVI&FileId=266, 2015.

[10] J. Laine-Ylijoki, J. Bacher, Tommi Kaartinen, K. Korpijärvi, M. Wahlström, M. zu Castell-Rüdenhausen, Review on Elemental Recovery Potential of Ashes, in: Arvi - material value chains, project report,

https://portal.cleen.fi/\_layouts/IWXmlPublications.aspx?Source=ARVI&FileId=220, 2015.

[11] E. Vainio, E. Hupa, J.-E. Eriksson, D. Lindberg, P. Yrjas, Continuous leaching analysis of ashes, in: Arvi - material value chains, project report,

https://portal.cleen.fi/\_layouts/IWXmlPublications.aspx?Source=ARVI&FileId=689, 2017.

[12] W. Boonjob, M. Zevenhoven, P. Ek, M. Hupa, A. Ivaska, M. Miro, Automatic dynamic chemical fractionation method with detection by plasma spectrometry for advanced characterization of solid biofuels, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 27 (2012) 841-849.

[13] W. Boonjob, M. Zevenhoven, M. Hupa, P. Ek, A. Ivaska, M. Miro, Elucidation of associations of ash-forming matter in woody biomass residues using on-line chemical fractionation, Fuel, 107 (2013) 192-201.

[14] M. Aho, P. Vainikka, R. Taipale, P. Yrjas, Effective new chemicals to prevent corrosion due to chlorine in power plant superheaters, Fuel, 87 (2008) 647-654.

[15] T. Talonen, Chemical Equilibria of Heavy Metals in Waste Incineration: Comparison of Thermodynamic Databases, in, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, 2008, pp. 109.

[16] H. Lassesson, B.-M. Steenari, Speciation of Copper in Ash from a Fluidized-Bed Boiler Fired with Municipal Solid Waste, Energy & Fuels, 27 (2013) 3891-3897.

[17] H. Lassesson, K.K. Fedje, B.-M. Steenari, Leaching for recovery of copper from municipal solid waste incineration fly ash: influence of ash properties and metal speciation, Waste Manage. Res., 32 (2014) 755-762, 758 pp.

[18] R. Miravet, J.F. Lopez-Sanchez, R. Rubio, Leachability and analytical speciation of antimony in coal fly ash, Anal. Chim. Acta, 576 (2006) 200-206.

[19] C.W. Bale, E. Bélisle, P. Chartrand, S.A. Decterov, G. Eriksson, A.E. Gheribi, K. Hack,
I.H. Jung, Y.B. Kang, J. Melançon, A.D. Pelton, S. Petersen, C. Robelin, J. Sangster, P.
Spencer, M.A. Van Ende, FactSage thermochemical software and databases, 2010–2016,
CALPHAD: Comput. Coupling Phase Diagrams Thermochem., 54 (2016) 35-53.