

Research Center, Pori / Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola **REPORT** September 22, 2015

15093-ORC-T Confidential 1 (4)

Filtration tests of slag2PCC slurries manufactured at the Aalto pilot

Tuukka Kotiranta Heljä Peltola Toni Auvinen

| Distribution | |
|----------------------|--|
| Customer | Sebastian Teir (CCSP WP 5.2.1) |
| Outotec Espoo | Ilkka Kojo |
| Outotec Lappeenranta | Toni Auvinen, Leena Tanttu, Janne Kauppi (pdf) |
| ORC | Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola |
| Circulation | Sami Salo, Janne Karonen, Mari Lindgren, Jarkko Partinen \rightarrow Heljä Peltola |
| Customer database | ОТ |



Research Center, Pori / Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola **REPORT** September 22, 2015

15093-ORC-T Confidential 2 (4)

The contents of this document are the sole property of Outotec. Any rights not expressly granted herein to the contents of this document are reserved. Reproduction, transfer, distribution, disclosure or use of part or all of the contents of this document in any form or manner without the prior written permission of Outotec is prohibited.

| Approval | Sami Salo, R&D Manager - Hydrometallurgy |
|--|--|
| Appendices Related reports Project number Key words | A (25 pages) 12148-ORC-T (Modeling of the Slag2PCC process) 10018731(2014, 2015) PCC, precipitated calcium carbonate, mineral carbonation, slag carbonation, Slag2PCC, filtration, carbon capture and storage, CCS, CLEEN |
| Avainsanat | PCC, saostettu kalsiumkarbonaatti, mineraalikarbonointi, kuona- karbonointi, kuona-PCC, suodatus, hiilidioksidin talteenotto, CCS, CLEEN |



Research Center, Pori / Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola REPORT September 22, 2015

15093-ORC-T Confidential

Abstract

This report presents the results of filtration tests for the slag based precipitated calcium carbonate (slag2PCC) manufactured at the pilot plant of Aalto University. Two kinds of material were tested, one with the calcite type crystal structure, and one with the aragonite type structure. The tests were performed at Outotec Lappeenranta, where the materials arrived in a slurry form from Aalto. The lot sizes were about 10 kg of solids of both PCC types. The tests included pressure filtration and vacuum filtration, and the selected test units were Labox 100 and Büchner, respectively. The series contained altogether 21 different filtration cycles with varying combinations of filtering, washing, and drying steps. The filtered cakes were analyzed by their residual moisture content, chloride content and conductivity, and the filtrates by their residual solids content, chloride content and conductivity.

The objective of the test work was to determine the maximum filtration capacities of the PCC slurries, as a function of the thickness, moisture content and residual chloride content of the filtered cakes. The detailed information on the test procedure, and the results obtained are given in Appendix A. The results are summarized in the following text.

Both PCC slurries are easy to filter with both Outotec technologies, i.e. with pressure filtration and with vacuum filtration. Filter cloths AINO K11 (pressure filtration) and ARTO S11 (vacuum filtration) gave very clear filtrate for both slurries. The solids content of the filtrates were <10 mg/l and 10-15 mg/l, respectively.

Calcite was filtered at room temperature and wash liquid temperature was also ~20 °C. Outotec Larox pressure filtration technology gave a high capacity and a low cake residual moisture content. Wash liquid penetrated the cake easily, even with a low wash water pressure. Washing can be performed either directly after the slurry feed, or after intermediate pressing. Compared to pressure filtration, vacuum filtration gave even higher capacities for the filtration of calcite slurry. On the other hand, the cake residual moisture stayed higher. Solids washing can be successfully performed also with Outotec vacuum filtration technology.

The cake residual chloride content and conductivity drop, when performing solids washing, are shown in the figure below. The chloride content of the calcite cake can be dropped from 10000 ppm to ~10 ppm with 2 l/kg D.S. wash ratio.



Cake conductivity and residual chloride content with different wash ratios of calcite slurry.



REPORT September 22, 2015

Research Center, Pori / Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola 15093-ORC-T Confidential

Aragonite slurry was heated to ~55 °C for the tests, which is the same as the production temperature of the material. Also for this slurry, high capacities were achieved with both filtration technologies. Pressure filtration gave lower cake residual moistures than vacuum filtration, but also lower filtration capacities. Different from the calcite slurry, room temperature water could not be used for solids washing because the wash liquid did not penetrate the cake. With 50°C wash liquid, washing could be performed successfully with both filtration technologies. Cake residual chloride content with pressure filtration technology was dropped from original ~5700 ppm to 109 ppm with 1.4 l/kg D.S. wash ratio. With vacuum filtration, the chloride content was dropped from >13 000 ppm to 127 ppm with 2.0 l/kg D.S. wash ratio. The cake residual chloride content and conductivity drop, as a function of solids washing are shown in the figure below.



Cake conductivity and residual chloride content with different wash ratios of aragonite slurry.

Further testing of both PCC grades is recommended for wash liquid consumption evaluation more accurately. Further tests for pressure filtration are recommended to be performed with a bigger test unit, such as PF 0.1. This is due to easier control of the wash liquid volumes used, because the wash liquid penetrates the cakes very easily.

The desired chloride content of the cake can be achieved with quite a small washing water amount, and the filtration rate is fast, around 350 kg/(m²h) (dry solids). What is noted from the test work is that aragonite gave higher chloride concentration (around 100 ppm) in the cake than calcite (around 10 ppm). This is probably due to the particle size (P50 41 μ m and P80 84 μ m for calcite and P50 27 μ m and P80 50 μ m for aragonite) but also the crystal morphology might have some effect on the result. If the higher chloride content of aragonite cake is due to the particle size it means that a lot more efficient washing is needed if finer PCC is produced.

The equipment size for 20 t/h PCC production (160 000 t/a) would be a 57 m^2 filter, which is very small. A rough estimate for the total investment for that size of a filter is less than 1 million euros depending on the filter type. In this case it is desired to have a good washing result so the cheapest filter types are not an option.



Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T Confidential

1 / 25

Customer: ORC Contact Person(s): Tuukka Kotiranta, Heljä Peltola Country: Finland Place: Pori Application: Slag PCC – Aragonite & calcite **Product of Test:** PCC Case. No.: 10018731 Case Manager: Heljä Peltola Test Case No.: 10018731T1 Test Performed by: Toni Auvinen Date of Test: 1.-8.12.2014 Location of Test: Lappeenranta **Test equipment:** Labox 100 & Büchner Date of Test report: 20.3.2015

CONTENT

Test reported by:

| 1. | GENERAL INFORMATION | 2 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2. | OBJECTIVE OF TESTS AND SELECTED TEST EQUIPMENT | 2 |
| 3. | CUSTOMER PROCESS DATA AND SIMPLIFIED FLOWSHEET | 2 |
| 4. | PRODUCTION DATA REQUIREMENTS | 2 |
| 5. | DESCRIPTION OF FILTRATION PROCESS AND SIMPLIFIED FLOWSHEET | 2 |
| 6. | TEST – PRODUCT AND WASH LIQUID DATA | 4 |
| 7. | FILTRATION TEST DATA | 5 |
| 8. | ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES | 7 |
| 9. | EXPLANATION OF TESTS | 8 |
| 10. | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | .15 |

Toni Auvinen



1. GENERAL INFORMATION

ORC has been in co-operation with VTT on PCC slurry filtration tests. Continuation of these tests was performed in Lappeenranta. VTT research report VTT-R-00372-14 results were used as a basis for this test campaign. The test material was produced at the pilot plant of Aalto University.

2. OBJECTIVE OF TESTS AND SELECTED TEST EQUIPMENT

Objective for the test work was to determine:

- cake thickness
- maximum filtration capacity
- moisture content of the cake
- cake handling
- washing of chlorides from the cake

Selected test units were Labox 100 (pressure filtration) and Büchner (vacuum filtration), because of the sample size and easy filterability of the slurry.

3. CUSTOMER PROCESS DATA AND SIMPLIFIED FLOWSHEET

NA.

4. PRODUCTION DATA REQUIREMENTS

Solids quantity: as high as possible

Filter cake:

cake moisture as low as possible
contains minimum amount of chloride with reasonable wash liquid consumption

Filtrate:

- clear filtrate

5. DESCRIPTION OF FILTRATION PROCESS AND SIMPLIFIED FLOWSHEET

In pressure filtration, the slurry is fed into the filter chamber by pumping. After pumping, the excess liquid is pressed out of the slurry inside the chamber (1st pressing). Pressure is produced by pumping water or air over a pressing diaphragm that expands and presses the slurry. After the 1st pressing, solids can be washed if needed. In solids washing, the wash liquid is fed into the empty space inside the chamber that has been created with 1st pressing. Then the wash liquid is pressed through the cake in 2nd pressing. After the cake has been pressed, the cake is air dried with pressurized air. Pressure filtration process is shown in picture 1. Labox 100 test unit is shown in picture 2.



Picture 1. Pressure filtration cycle.



Picture 2. Labox 100 test unit.

| Outotec | Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T | Appendix A |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| Research Center, Pori | Confidential | 4 / 25 |

Outotec Larox® Büchner (BVB) test unit is used to simulate vacuum filtration. The test unit is used by pouring slurry sample into the cylinder, and then vacuum is applied to the slurry underneath the cake and the filter cloth. The time when there is excess water on the cake is called filtration time. When excess water has been sucked out of the cake, the vacuum is cut off, mother liquid is collected and then the cake can be washed. Washing is done by returning the vacuum on and by pouring the wash liquid on the cake with a spoon to ensure equal distribution of the washing liquid. When there is no more washing liquid on the cake surface, the cake drying begins. Washing can be performed in multiple stages and also in co-current or counter current mode. Büchner test unit is illustrated in picture 3.



Picture 3. Outotec Larox® Büchner (BVB) test unit.

6. TEST – PRODUCT AND WASH LIQUID DATA

| <u>Kind</u> - - | of process/product Product Operation | : Slag PCC : Dewatering | | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Slurr | Ŷ | Calcite | Aragonite | |
| - | Temperature | : amb | ~55 | °C |
| - | Density | : 1145 | 1307 | g/l |
| - | Solids content | : 24.8 | 40.2 | wt% |
| - | рН | : 7.3 | 7.2 | |
| - | Solids phase | | | |
| | Composition | : PCC | | |
| - | Liquid phase | | | |
| | Composition | : NH₄CI | | |
| Was | <u>h liquid</u> | | | |
| - | Composition | : Lappeenranta ta | ap water | |
| - | рН | : neutral | | |
| - | Temperature | : 24 | 50 | °C |



5 / 25

7. FILTRATION TEST DATA

The filtration test data of all the individual tests is given on the enclosure pages (p. 17-20).

Calcite

Outotec Larox PF (pressure filtration):

The following results are achieved with a chamber height of 60 mm by using AINO K11 filter cloth.

| - | Specific filtration rate | 398.8 | kgDS/m²h |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|----------|
| - | Residual filter cake moisture | 11.9 | % w/w |
| - | Average drying air consumption | 25 | l/min |
| - | Solids in filtrate | <10 | mg/l |
| - | Cake thickness | 42 | mm |
| - | Wash water consumption | 1.8 | l/kg DS |
| - | Wash result in cake | 52 | μS |
| - | Cake chloride content | 10 | ppm |
| | | | |

The following filtration cycle parameters are illustrated in the test datasheet in test run #7 on page 17 (see enclosure).

| - | Total cycle time | | 8.5 | min |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| - | Pumping time in test un | it | 1 | min |
| - | Cake washing | | 1.5 | min |
| - | Pressing II | | 1 | min |
| - | Air drying | | 1 | min |
| - | Technical time | | 4 | min |
| | | | | |
| - | Pumping pressure | | 4 | bar |
| - | Cake washing pressure | | 2 | bar |
| - | Pressing pressure II | | 12 | bar |
| - | Air drying pressure | at start | 3 | bar |
| | | after 1 min | 3 | bar |

Outotec Larox RT / RB-SV / RT-GT (vacuum filtration):

The following results are achieved by using the filter cloth quality ARTO S11 filter cloth.

| - | Test capad | city | | 629 | kgDS/m ² hr |
|---|-------------|-------|---------------|------|------------------------|
| - | Solid conte | ent i | n filter cake | 78.9 | %wt |
| - | Cake thick | nes | S | 21 | mm |
| - | Wash ratio |) | | 0.9 | l/kgDS |
| - | Wash resu | ılt | | 103 | μŠ |
| - | Cake chlor | ride | content | 252 | ppm |
| - | Vacuum: | | | | •• |
| | | - | Separation | 0.5 | bar |
| | | - | Washing | 0.5 | bar |
| | | - | Drying | 0.4 | bar |
| - | Air flow | | | 15 | l/min |
| | | | | | |

| Outotec | Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T | Appendix A |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| Research Center, Pori | Confidential | 6 / 25 |

The filtration parameters are illustrated in the test run #9 on page 18 of the attachment.

| Separation | 44 | s |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Intermediate drying | 10 | s |
| Washing | 20 | s |
| Drying | 60 | S |
| | Separation Intermediate drying Washing Drying | Separation44Intermediate drying10Washing20Drying60 |

Aragonite

Outotec Larox PF (pressure filtration):

The following results are achieved with a chamber height of 60 mm by using AINO K11 filter cloth.

| - | Specific filtration rate | 453.1 | kgDS/m²h |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|----------|
| - | Residual filter cake moisture | 13.7 | % w/w |
| - | Average drying air consumption | <10 | l/min |
| - | Solids in filtrate | <10 | mg/l |
| - | Cake thickness | 52 | mm |
| - | Wash water consumption | 1.4 | l/kg DS |
| - | Wash result in cake | 110 | μS |
| - | Cake chloride content | 109 | ppm |

The following filtration cycle parameters are illustrated in the test datasheet in test run #15 on page 19 (see enclosure).

| - | Total cycle time | | 9.5 | min |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| - | Pumping time in test uni | t | 1 | min |
| - | Pressing I | | 0.5 | min |
| - | Cake washing | | 1.5 | min |
| - | Pressing II | | 1.5 | min |
| - | Air drying | | 1 | min |
| - | Technical time | | 4 | min |
| | | | | |
| - | Pumping pressure | | 4 | bar |
| - | Pressing pressure I | | 8 | bar |
| - | Cake washing pressure | | 6 | bar |
| - | Pressing pressure II | | 12 | bar |
| - | Air drying pressure | at start | 6 | bar |
| | | after 1 min | 6 | bar |

Outotec Larox RT / RB-SV / RT-GT (vacuum filtration):

The following results are achieved by using the filter cloth quality ARTO S11 filter cloth.

| - | Test capacity | 611 | kgDS/m²hr |
|---|------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| - | Solid content in filter cake | 74 | %wt |
| - | Cake thickness | 48 | mm |
| - | Wash ratio | 2 | l/kgDS |
| - | Wash result | 174 | μS |
| - | Cake chloride content | 127 | ppm |
| | | | |

| Outotec | | Appendix A | 1 | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|--------|--|
| Research Center, Pori | esearch Center, Pori Confidential | | | | | 7 / 25 | |
| - | Vacuum: | - | Separation Washing | 0.5 0.5 | bar bar | | |

- Drying Air flow 0.5 bar 0.5 bar 10 l/min

The filtration parameters are illustrated in the test run #21 on page 20 of the attachment.

| - | Separation | 52 | s |
|---|---------------------|-----|---|
| - | Intermediate drying | 10 | S |
| - | Washing | 193 | S |
| - | Drying | 60 | s |

8. ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Particle size distributions of the test materials (PCC calcite and PCC aragonite), measured by a laser particle size analyzer, are presented on the enclosure pages (p. 21-22).

The moisture contents of the filtered cakes were analyzed from approx. 50 g filtered samples (wet samples) which were dried in a laboratory oven at 60°C in air. The drying time was 38 h 15 min. The moisture contents were calculated from the mass losses of the samples. The results are given in Table A on the enclosure pages (p. 23).

Filtrate solids content was measured by pouring 100 ml of filtrate through filtrate paper. Paper with solids on was then dried in the oven overnight at 105 °C and weighed. Filtrate conductivities were measured with HANNA instruments conductivity meter. Cake conductivity was measured after mixing dry cake in ratio 1:1 with distilled water.

Cake chloride contents were measured by ORC. The chloride contents of the filtered cakes were determined as follows. A sample (approx. 10 g) was mixed with 100 ml (= 100 g) ion exchanged water. The suspension was stirred vigorously with a magnetic stirrer for 60 min. The suspension was filtered and the chloride content of the clear water solution was analyzed by an ion chromatograph (IC DX-120). In the case of the lowest chloride contents (cakes #6, #7, #10, #11), potentiometric titration with AgNO₃ was used instead of ion chromatography. In these analyses, increased sample weights (approx. 25 g) were also used.

The chloride contents corresponding to both wet cake and dry cake were calculated from the analyzed chloride content of the solution. The assumption for measuring the chloride contents by this manner was that all the chlorides in the filtered cake are water-soluble. It was also assumed that the chlorides were situated on the particle surfaces, and not locked inside the particles, so they were able to dissolve into water during stirring of the suspension. Two stirring times (30 min and 60 min) were tested before starting the analysis series, and they were found to give almost identical results. For the analysis series, the longer stirring time (60 min) was adopted. The analyzed chloride contents of the filtered cakes are presented in Table B on the enclosure pages (p. 24).

The chloride contents of the wash filtrates were analyzed by ion chromatography. The results are given in Table C on the enclosure pages (p. 25).



8 / 25

9. EXPLANATION OF TESTS

Slurry samples arrived in Lappeenranta in plastic containers. Slurry containers were not sealed properly and some of the liquid had been lost during transportation. Slurry was diluted when needed, with NH₄Cl liquid that was delivered with the slurry samples. Picture 4 shows the sample containers.



Picture 4. Container that had the slurry on the left, and container for the dilution liquid on the right.

<u>Calcite</u>

Test work began with calcite slurry. Slurry solids content was measured to be 60 % wt after some liquid had been lost during the transportation. Slurry was diluted with NH_4CI solution to 24.8 % wt for the tests.

First tests were performed with Labox 100 pressure filter. Run #1 was performed with 33 mm chamber and with filter cloth AINO K11 that had been found to be the best in VTT research report. Slurry filtered very easily and chamber was full after ~40 seconds of pumping. Pumping was continued for 1.5 min. Pressing and drying were not performed due to a leakage in pressing air in the test unit caused by a mishap in the unit assembly. Cake solids content was above 70 % even without pressing and drying. Picture 5 shows the cake from test run #1.



9/25



Picture 5. Cake from test run #1.

Test run #2 was performed with 60 mm filter chamber as it was seen that slurry is easily filtered. Pumping pressure was also reduced to 4 bars for this reason. Chamber was full before 1 min slurry feed was performed. Pressing was then performed with 16 bar pressure for 1.5 min though after 30 seconds of pressing not much filtrate was collected. Pressing was followed by 1 min air drying with 4 bar pressure. Test resulted a hard cake with 12 % moisture content.

Büchner vacuum filtration tests were included as it was seen that the slurry is very easy to filter. Test runs #3-4 were performed with Büchner without solids washing. Filter cloth was ARTO S11 (tightest filter cloth for Büchner at the moment) and vacuum was 0.5 bar. Run #3 was performed with 500 ml slurry sample and run #4 with 1000 ml sample. This was done to optimize the filtration capacity. Bigger slurry sample gave much higher capacities and was therefore selected for further tests. Picture 6 shows the cake from test run #4.





Picture 6. Cake from test run #4.

Test runs from this point onward were performed with solids washing. Test runs #5-7 were performed again with Labox 100 pressure filter. Run #5 was performed with 1 min slurry feed, 0.5 min 1st pressing at 6 bar, solids washing of 0.5 min with 6 bar pressure, 1.5 min 2nd pressing and 1 min air drying. Wash liquid penetrated the cake very easily and in 30 seconds total of 1 liter wash liquid had been used. Wash filtrate conductivity was measured to be 1285 μ S.

Test run #6 was performed with higher pressure in 1st pressing and lower wash liquid pressure. The 1st pressing was performed with 8 bar and washing with 2 bar pressure. Wash liquid pressure was dropped to 2 bars due to high wash liquid flow through the solids in previous run. Wash liquid volume of 1 liter was fed into the filter after 1 min 20 s.

Test #7 was performed without 1st pressing. Wash liquid was introduced right after slurry feed. One liter of wash liquid was introduced to the filter in 1.5 min. Cake was then pressed and dried as in previous runs. Wash filtrate samples were taken at the beginning of washing, after 45 seconds of washing and during pressing. Picture 7 shows the conductivity measurements of these samples.







Picture 7. Wash filtrate conductivity during solids wash in run #7 (>1.5 min means filtrate from pressing).

Test runs #8-11 were performed with Büchner vacuum filter. Run #8 was performed for capacity evaluation as runs #3 and 4. Sample volume in run #8 was 750 ml. Results from runs #3, 4 and 8 show that the highest capacity was achieved with 1000 ml sample volume. This was therefore selected for washing tests.

Test runs #9-11 were performed with different wash ratios. Filtration cycle was otherwise the same in all 3 runs: after filtration, 10 s intermediate drying, solids washing and 60 s final drying. Wash liquid temperature was ~20 °C in all tests. Wash ratios were respectively 1, 2 and 5. All three cakes had residual moisture content of ~26 %. Cake conductivity dropped from original 13500 mS without washing to ~100 µS with 1 l/kg D.S. wash ratio. Higher wash ratios did not much affect the cake conductivity. Picture 8 shows how filtrate conductivity dropped in wash filtrate vs. mother liquid.

| Outotec | Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T | Appendix A |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| Research Center, Pori | Confidential | 12 / 25 |





<u>Aragonite</u>

Tests with aragonite slurry were performed with slurry without dilution. Slurry density was 1.307 kg/dm³ and solids content 40.2 % wt. Slurry was heated to ~55 °C and filter cloth in all tests was AINO K11. Slurry was first tested with Labox 100 pressure filter. Test run #12 was performed with 33 mm chamber without solids washing. Feed pressure was 6 bar and pressing pressure 16 bar. With 1 min feed, 1.5 min pressing and 1 min air drying, a 23 mm thick cake was achieved.

Test run #12 showed that aragonite slurry is about as easy to filter as calcite slurry. Further tests were therefore performed with higher 60 mm chamber. Pressures were also dropped in slurry feed to 4 bar and in last pressing to 12 bar. Test run #13 was performed without solids washing. Filtration cycle was the same as in run #12. Test resulted a 43 mm thick cake. Cake conductivity was 9100 μ S.

Run #14 was performed with solids washing with room temperature water. Washing was performed right after slurry feed. It was seen that the wash liquid could not penetrate the cake. After 5 min of washing, only ~40 ml of wash liquid had been introduced to the cake though using 6 bar pressure.

Run #15 was performed with solids washing after intermediate pressing. Wash liquid temperature was now ~50 °C. Slurry feed of 1 min was followed by 0.5 min intermediate pressing with 8 bar pressure. Then wash liquid was introduced to the cake with 6 bar pressure. After 1.5 min, 1 liter of wash liquid had been introduced to the cake. Cake thickness of 52 mm was achieved. Cake conductivity was measured to be 110 μ S after washing. Cake from test run #15 is shown in picture 9.







Picture 9. Cake from test run #15.

Test runs #16-21 were performed with Büchner vacuum filter. Slurry temperature was again ~55 °C and filter cloth was ARTO S11. Runs #16-18 were performed with different sample volumes to find the maximum capacity. Slurry samples of 500, 750 and 1000 ml respectively were used. Cake thicknesses were respectively 24, 37 and 49 mm. Test run #18 with 1000 ml sample gave the highest capacity. Therefore that was selected for further tests with solids washing. Picture 10 shows the cake and mother liquid from test run #18.



14/25



Picture 10. Cake and filtrate from test run #18.

Tests #19-21 were performed with solids washing. Wash water temperature was ~50 °C. Wash ratios of 0.5, 1 and 2 l/kg D.S. were used respectively. Before solids washing, separation phase was followed by 10 s intermediate drying. After washing, 60 s drying was performed. Picture 11 shows how the cake conductivity dropped without vs. with solids washing and how the cake residual chloride content varied between different wash ratios.



Picture 11. Cake conductivity and residual chloride content of aragonite with different wash ratios.

| Outotec | Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T | Appendix A |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| Research Center, Pori | Confidential | 15 / 25 |



Picture 12 shows how the wash filtrate conductivities dropped with different wash ratios.

Picture 12. Filtrate conductivities with different wash ratios.

10. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Both PCC slurries are easy to filter with both Outotec technologies; pressure filtration and vacuum filtration. Filter cloths AINO K11 (PF) for pressure filtration and ARTO S11 (vacuum filtration) give very clear filtrate for both slurries. AINO K11 gave filtrate with <10 mg/l solids. With ARTO S11 the filtrate has slightly more solids in it, ~10-15 mg/l.

Calcite

Calcite was filtered at room temperature and wash liquid temperature was also ~20 °C. Outotec Larox pressure filtration technology gave high capacity and low cake residual moisture. Wash liquid penetrates the cake easily, even with low wash water pressure. Washing can be performed either directly after slurry feed, or after intermediate pressing. Chloride content of the cake can be dropped from 10000 to ~10 ppm with 2 l/kg D.S. wash ratio.

Vacuum filtration gives higher capacities for filtration of calcite slurry. On the other hand, the cake residual moisture stays higher with vacuum filtration. Solids washing can be successfully performed with Outotec vacuum filtration technology also. Cake residual chloride content and conductivity drop, when performing solids washing, are shown in picture 13.





Picture 13. Cake conductivity and residual chloride content of calcite with different wash ratios.

<u>Aragonite</u>

Aragonite slurry was heated to ~55 °C for the tests, which is the same as the production temperature of the material. For this slurry also high capacities were achieved with both pressure and vacuum filtration. Pressure filtration gives lower cake residual moistures but also lower capacities.

Room temperature water cannot be used for solids washing because the wash liquid does not penetrate the cake. With 50 °C wash liquid, washing can be successfully performed with both filtration technologies. Cake residual chloride content with pressure filtration technology was dropped from original ~5700 ppm to 109 ppm with 1.4 l/kg D.S. wash ratio. With vacuum filtration, the chloride content was dropped from >13 000 ppm to 127 ppm with 2.0 l/kg D.S. wash ratio.

Further testing is recommended for wash liquid consumption evaluation more accurately. Further tests for pressure filtration are recommended to be performed with a bigger test unit, such as PF 0.1. This is due to easier control of the wash liquid volumes used, because the wash liquid penetrates the cakes very easily.

Enclosures:

- Test datasheets (4 pages)
- PSD analyses (2 pages)
- Moisture contents of cakes. Chloride analyses of cakes and filtrates (3 pages)



Confidential

TEST FILTRATION NO.

17/25

Outotec

TEST FILTRATION DATASHEET

| COULTR | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| CUSTOMER | ORC | | dina za i | DATE | 12.12.201 | 4 | | a | | ENCL. NO | | |
| BUSINESS UNIT | | | | BY | TonAuv | | | | | PAGE NO. | | |
| APPLICATION NAME | PCC - Calcite | | | TEST PLA | CE | LPR | | _ | | | | |
| SOLIDS DESCRIPTION | WASH LIQUID TYPE LPR tap water | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LIQUID DESCRIPTION | | | | PARTICLE | SIZE DIS | RIBUTIO | | | | | | |
| Test unit type | | | Labox100 | Labox100 | Labox100 | Labox100 | Labox100 | _ | | | | |
| Filtration area, m ² | | | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0.01 | 0,01 | 0.01 | | | | | |
| Face area, m ² | | | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | | | | | |
| Chamber depth, mm | | | 33 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| Test no | | | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | | | |
| Process conditions | | 3 | - | | | | , | | | | | |
| Density of | slurry | kg/dm° | 1,145 | 1,145 | 1,145 | 1,145 | 1,145 | | | | | |
| S G of colide in clumy | liquid in slurry | kg/dm ³ | 1,008 | 1,008 | 1,008 | 1,008 | 1,008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Solids in slurry | calculated | %w/w | 197 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 2,512 | 19.9 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Density of | wash liquid | ka/dm ³ | 10,1 | Cent. | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Temperature of | slurry | °C | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | | | | | |
| | wash liquid | °C | | | 24 | 24 | 24 | | | | | |
| pH of | slurry | | 7,3 | 7,3 | 7,3 | 7,3 | 7,3 | | | | | |
| | wash liquid | | | | 7,0 | 7,0 | 7,0 | | | | | |
| Filtration parameters | | | 1000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Duration of | pumping | min | 1,50 | 1,00 | 0,75 | 1,00 | 1,00 | | | | | |
| | i pressing | min | | 1,50 | 0,50 | 0,50 | 1.50 | | | | | |
| | Washing | min | | | 0,50 | 1,33 | 1,50 | | | | | |
| | dp/ing | min | | 1.00 | 1,50 | 1,00 | 1,00 | | | | | |
| | technical time | min | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | | | | | |
| Calculated cycle time | | min | 5,50 | 7,50 | 8,25 | 8,83 | 8,50 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Measured process paramet | ers during filtration tests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pressure of | slurry feed | bar | 6,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 4,0 | | | | | |
| | pressing I | bar | | 16,0 | 6,0 | 8,0 | | | | | | |
| | wash liquid | bar | | | 5,0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | | | | - | |
| | pressing II | bar | | | 16,0 | 12,0 | 12,0 | | | L] | | L |
| Quantity of slurry | calculated | I | 1,8 | 2,7 | 2,0 | 2,3 | 2,5 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Quantity of slurry | measured from tank | 1 | | | | | | | | | - | |
| Quantity of filtrate during | pumping | kg | 1,51 | 2,12 | 1,68 | 2,04 | 2,03 | | | ļ | | |
| | pressing I | kg | | 0,12 | 0,017 | 0,01 | | | | | | |
| | washing | | | | 0,729 | 0,607 | 0,709 | | | | | |
| | pressing ii | ka | | 0.12 | 0,185 | | 0,106 | | | | | |
| | TOTAL w/o wash filtrate | ka | 1.51 | 2.36 | 1,784 | 2 053 | 2 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Consumption of | wash liquid | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| Airflow / air propours | hasianing | Verie /her | | 00/4 | 05/0 | 05/0 | 05/0 | | | | | 1 |
| Air flow / air pressure | at 1 min | I/min / bar | | 30/4 | 35/3 | 35/3 | 25/3 | | | | | |
| | end | I/min / bar | - | 30/4 | 33/3 | 33/3 | 23/3 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| pH of | filtrate | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Process results | washi mirate | | | | | Let | | | | L | | L |
| Moisture in cake | | % w/w | 29,3 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 12.0 | 11.9 | | | | | |
| Cake thickness average | | mm | 36 | 48 | 33 | 39 | 42 | | | | | |
| Cake thickness variation | | mm | | 46-49 | 32-34 | 38-40 | 40-42 | | | | | |
| Wet cake weight | | kg | 0,587 | 0,693 | 0,524 | 0,608 | 0,642 | | | | | |
| Dry cake weight | calculated | kg | 0,42 | 0,55 | 0,42 | 0,54 | 0,56 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| We cake S.G. | measured | kg/dm ³ | 1.00 | | 1.55 | 4.00 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| wel cake S.G | calculated | kg/dm° | 1,63 | 1,44 | 1,59 | 1,56 | 1,53 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Filtration rate (dry solids) | calculated | kg/m²h | 452,7 | 436,1 | 307,2 | 363,6 | 398,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Filtration rate (filtrate) | calculated | l/m²h | 1647 | 1888 | 1297 | 1395 | 1540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wash liquid consumption | calculated | m³/ton D.S. | 0,0 | 0,0 | 2,4 | 1,9 | 1,8 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Washing result | cake conductivity | V01 / V01 | 0,0 | 7000 0 | 70.0 | 57.0 | 52.0 | | | | | |
| Washing result | cake chloride content | μ5 | | 10022.0 | 70,0 | 18.0 | 10.0 | | | | | |
| Washing result | wash filtrate conductivity | uS | | 10022,0 | 1285.0 | 10,0 | 330.0 | | | | | |
| Solids ratio in cake (vol/vol) | calculated | % | 44,8 | 36,7 | 43,4 | 54,6 | 53,2 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Air in cake vs void volume | calculated | % | 13,3 | 50,9 | 45,2 | 58,3 | 60,6 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| Solids content in | filtrate | mg/l | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Solids content in | wash filtrate | mg/l | | | | | 1000 | | | | | |
| Filter cloth type | | | AINO K11 | AINO K11 | AINO K11 | AINO K11 | AINO K11 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test date | | | 1 10 | 1 10 | 2 10 | 0.10 | 2 10 | | | | | |



Confidential

18/25

Buchner test filtration data sheet utotec BUCHNER: SEPARATION - 3 x WASHING - DRYING strictly confidential! ORC Company Date Address Tested by Reference Page of: 1 PCC - Calcite Particle Product Wash liquids Temp. °C Test area Grade size 1st [cm²]Solids 2nd standard Liquid 3rd 3 4 Test nr. 8 9 10 11 Filtercloth ARTO S11 ARTO S11 ARTO S11 ARTO S11 ARTO S11 ARTO S11 dp wet filtercloth 0,2 0,2 0,2 0,25 0,25 bar 0,15 °C Temp. 18 18 18 18 18 18 500 1000 1000 Amount ml 750 1000 1000 S Real d.s. content g/l I Settling time s 5 5 5 5 5 5 u 0,5 Vacuum bar 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 r Separation time s 15 44 28 44 50 52 Drying time s 60 60 60 10 10 10 r 420 840 625 800 785 780 Mother liquor ml v Filtrate quality solids 15 mg/l Wash filtrate clarity Temp. °C 20 20 Volume in ml 450 1125 1st Volume out 250 480 1160 ml Wash time s 20 42 111 W Drying time s а °C Temp. S Volume (in/out) ml h 2nd Wash time s i Drying time s n °C Temp. g Volume (in/out) ml 3rd Wash time s Drying time s Vibration Yes/No D VSB time S r Hot air/steam °C У Air flow l/min 15 15 15 15 15 15 i 0,4 0.4 0,35 0.4 0.4 0.45 Vacuum bar n Drying time s 60 60 60 g Thickness mm 10 20 16 21 21 21 Cake cracking Yes/No no no no no no no С Wet weight 139,98 301.6 237,95 307,45 305,92 304.99 g а Cake moisture %wt 21,5 24,7 23,69 21,1 25,04 23,66 k d.s. g Dry weight 109,9 227,1 181,6 242,7 229,3 232,8 е Cake conductivity 13500 103 106 μS 73 8771 15133 Cake chloride content ppm 8553 252 3 48 Total time 80 109 93 139 167 238

Conductivities mother liquid w ash filtrate

Wash ratio

Test capacity

Test capacity

I /m² h μS μS 494

2250

105000

750

3303

703

2903

l/kg d.s.

kg d.s./m² h

108500 108400 49100 27200 WF #9 WF #10

0,9

629

2590

27200 12300 WF #10 WF #11

4,8

352

1513

108900

2,0

494

2156



Confidential

19/25

| Outote | TEST FIL | TRATIO | N DATAS | HEET | | TEST FI | LTRATION NO | l. | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---|------------------|---------|-------------|-------|---|
| CUSTOMER | ORC | | DATE | 45.12.20 | 14 | | ENCL | NO. | |
| BUSINESS UNIT | Sing BCC Areappite | | BY | TonAuv | | | PAGE | . NO. | |
| | Siag PCC - Aragonite | | WASHI | | LPR LPR tan w | ator | | | |
| LIQUID DESCRIPTION | | | PARTIC | E SIZE DIS | TRIBUTION | N | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Test unit type | | Labox | 100 Labox10 | 0 Labox100 | Labox100 | | | | |
| Filtration area, m ² | | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | | | | |
| Face area, m ² | | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | 0,01 | | | | |
| Chamber depth, mm | | 33 | 60 | 60 | 60 | | | | |
| Test no | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | | | | |
| Process conditions | alum kak | m ³ 1.00 | 7 1.007 | 1 207 | 1 007 | | 1 1 | | |
| Density of | Siurry Kg/0 | m ³ 1.01 | 1 1,307 | 1,307 | 1,307 | | | | |
| S G of solids in slurry | calculated kg/d | lm ³ 2.55 | 2 483 | 2 193 | 2 570 | | | | |
| Solids in slurry | calculated % | v/w 37.5 | 38.2 | 42.0 | 37.3 | | | | |
| Density of | wash liquid kg/g | lm ³ | | 1.000 | 1.000 | | | | |
| Temperature of | slurry | °C 55 | 53 | 55 | 53 | | | | |
| | wash liquid | °C | | 24 | 50 | | | | |
| pH of | slurry | | | | | | | | |
| | washliquid | | | | | | | | |
| Filtration parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| Duration of | pumping | min 1,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | | | | |
| | Ipressing | min 1,50 | 1,50 | - | 0,50 | | | | |
| | washing | min | | 5,00 | 1,50 | | | | |
| | Il pressing | min t c t | | 1,50 | 1,50 | | <u> </u> | | |
| | arying technical time | min 1,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | | | | |
| Calculated cycle time | technicartime | min 7.50 | 750 | 12.50 | 9.50 | | | | |
| Measured process paramet | ers during filtration tests | 1,00 | 7,00 | 12,00 | 0,00 | II | 1 | | |
| Pressure of | slurry feed | bar 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | |
| | pressing l | bar 16.0 | 12.0 | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 8.0 | | | | |
| | wash liquid | bar | | 6,0 | 6,0 | | | | |
| | pressing II | bar | | 12,0 | 12,0 | | | | |
| Quantity of slumy | calculated | 1 07 | 13 | 10 | 15 | | | | 1 |
| Quantity of slurry | measured from tank | 1 0,7 | 1,0 | 1,2 | 1,0 | | | | |
| Quantity of filtrate during | pumping | kg 0.27 | 6 0.605 | 0.663 | 0.79 | | | | |
| country of million of an ing | pressing l | kg 0.10 | 8 0.136 | 0,000 | 0.078 | | | | |
| | washing | kg | | 0,037 | 0,97 | | | | |
| | pressing II | kg | | 0,137 | 0,111 | | | | |
| | air drying | kg 0,12 | 9 0,179 | 0,190 | 0,23 | | | | |
| | TOTAL w/o wash filtrate | kg 0,51 | 3 0,92 | 0,853 | 1,102 | | | | |
| Consumption of | wash liquid | I | | 0,040 | 1,0 | | | | |
| Air flow / air pressure | beginning I/min / | bar 10/6 | 6 10/6 | <10/6 | <10/6 | | | | |
| | at 1 min //min / | bar 15/6 | 6 10/6 | 20/6 | <10/6 | | | | |
| | end I/min / | bar | | | | | | | |
| nH of | filtrate | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 1 | | 1 |
| | wash filtrate | | | | | | | | |
| Process results | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture in cake | % | w/w 11,5 | 13,5 | 11,0 | 13,7 | | | | |
| Cake thickness average | • | mm 23 | 43 | 45 | 52 | | | | |
| Cake thickness variation | | mm | | | | | | | |
| Wet cake weight | | kg 0,38 | 3 0,735 | 0,771 | 0,85 | | | | |
| Dry cake weight | calculated | kg 0,34 | 0,64 | 0,69 | 0,73 | | | | |
| We cake S.G. | measured kg/ | im [°] | | | | | | | |
| Wet cake S.G | calculated kg/ | im" 1,67 | 1,71 | 1,71 | 1,63 | | | | |
| Filtration rate (dry solids) | calculated kg/ | m²h 270, | 7 508,9 | 329,5 | 463,1 | | | | |
| Filtration rate (filtrate) | calculated V | m ² h 410 | 736 | 409 | 696 | | | | |
| Wash liquid consumption | calculated m ³ /ton [|).S. 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,1 | 1,4 | | | | |
| Wash liquid consumption (was | sh liquid/slurry) vol | vol 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,7 | | | | |
| Washing result | | % | 0 | 100- 1 | 100- | | | | |
| Cake chloride content | F | pm 5657 | ,0 5812,0 | 4655,0 | 109,0 | | <u> </u> | | |
| Solids ratio in cake (vol/vol) | calculated | % 57- | E0.6 | 60.6 | 54.0 | | | | |
| Air in cake vs void volume | calculated | % 52 | 426 | 37.8 | 49.7 | | <u> </u> | | |
| Solids content in | filtrate | na/l <10 | 42,0 | 57,0 | +3,1 | | 1 | | |
| Solids content in | wash filtrate | ng/l | | | | | | | |
| Filter cloth type | | AINO H | 11 AINO K1 | 1 AINO K11 | AINO K11 | | | | |
| Cake conductivity | | μS 910 | 9700 | 8500 | 110 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Test date | | 4.12 | 4.12. | 4.12. | 5.12. | | | | |



Confidential

20/25

| C | D | utote | ` | Buchner: strictly cor | SEPARATI | filtratio | ASHING - DI | sheet RYING | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Comp Addre Refer | oany ess ence | ORC | | salety of | | Date Tested by Page | 8.12.2014 TonAuv 1 | of: | | | | |
| Produ | uct | Slag PCC - Arago | nite | | Particle | Wash liqui | ds | Temp. °C | Test area | | | |
| Grad | е | | | | size | 1st | | | [cm ²] | | | |
| Solid | s | | | | | 2nd | | | standard | | | |
| Liqui | b | | | | | 3rd | | | | | | |
| Test | nr. | | | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | | | |
| Filter | cloth | | | ARTO S11 | ARTO S11 | ARTO S11 | ARTO S11 | ARTO S11 | ARTO S11 | | | |
| dp we | et filte | rcloth | bar | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | | | |
| | Temp |). | °C | 53 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | | | |
| | Amo | unt | ml | 500 | 750 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | | | |
| S | Real | d.s. content | a/l | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Settli | ina time | S | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| u | Vacu | ium | bar | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | | a nd dad na sel na sel na |
| r | Sepa | ration time | S | 15 | 31 | 52 | 61 | 54 | 52 | | | |
| ř | Drvin | ia time | 6 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | |
| v | Moth | er liquor | ml | 290 | 440 | 580 | 530 | 515 | 520 | | | aletta tetta tetta te |
| , | Filtra | te quality | | LUU | 110 | 000 | 000 | 010 | 0L0 | | | |
| | Wast | h filtrate clarity | | | | | | | | | | |
| | vv usi | Temp | °C | | | | 50 | 50 | 55 | | | |
| | | Volume in | ml | | | | 270 | 540 | 1080 | | | |
| | 1st | Volume out | ml | | | | 340 | 590 | 1135 | | | |
| W a | | Wash time | | | | | 40 | 090 | 102 | | | |
| | | Drving time | 5 | | | | 49 | 90 | 193 | | | |
| | | Drying time | °C | | | | | | | | | |
| S | | Velume (in (out) | C | | | | | | - | | | |
| h | 2 nd | Volume (m/out) | m | | | | | | | | | |
| i | | wash time | S | | | | | | + | | | |
| n | | | S | | | | | | | | | |
| g | | Temp. | د | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 rd | Volume (in/out) | mi | | | | | | | | | |
| | | wash time | S | | - | | - | | | | | |
| | | Drying time | S | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | Vibra | ition | Yes/No | | | - | - | TRACTION IN CARDING | | and the second state | | - |
| r | VSB | time | S | | | | | | | | | |
| У | Hot a | air/steam | °C | 15 | | | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | |
| i | Air fic | W | I/min | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | |
| n | Vacu | ium | bar | 0,4 | 0,45 | 0,45 | 0,47 | 0,47 | 0,47 | | | |
| g | Dryin | ig time | S | | | | 60 | 60 | 60 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Thick | iness | mm | 24 | 37 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 48 | | | |
| С | Саке | cracking | Yes/No | no | no | no | no | no | no | | | |
| а | Wet | weight | g | 357,6 | 544,3 | 742,1 | 754,3 | 730,3 | 734 | | ļ | |
| k | Cake | moisture | %wt | 25,6 | 25,99 | 27,96 | 25,6 | 28,38 | 26 | | | |
| e | Dry v | veight | d.s. g | 266,1 | 402,8 | 534,6 | 561,0 | 523,0 | 543,2 | | | |
| | Cake | conductivity | μS | 21100 | 23000 | 22700 | 7400 | 895 | 174 | | | |
| | Cake | chloride content | ppm | 13464 | 13993 | 10826 | 4455 | 598 | 127 | | | |
| Tota | time | | S | 80 | 96 | 117 | 185 | 225 | 320 | | | |
| Was | n ratio | D | l/kg d.s. | | | | 0,5 | 1,0 | 2,0 | | | |
| Test | capa | city | kg d.s./m² h | 1197 | 1511 | 1645 | 1092 | 837 | 611 | | | |
| Test | cana | city | l/m² h | 2250 | 2813 | 3077 | 1946 | 1600 | 1125 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| Ou | totec | Test Report September 22, 2015 15093-ORC-T | Appendix A |
|---|---|---|------------|
| Research (| Center, Pori | Confidential | 21 / 25 |
| | ECKMAN JLTER | LS Particle Size Analyzer 4 Dec 2014 | 10:46 |
| File nan File ID: Sample Comme Optical Start tim | ne: C:\LS32 Slag P0 Slag P0 ID: 000 nt 1: Calcite nt 2: Analyse model: Fraunh- ne: 10:44 | 2\Samples\2014\Slag PCC Calcite_01.\$ls CC Calcite_01.\$ls CC Calcite ed from dry sample ofer.rfd PIDS included 4 Dec 2014 | |
| | | Differential Volume | |
| 4- | Slag PCC Calcite_01. | | |
| 3.5- | | | |
| 3- | | | |
| 2.5- | | | |
| (%) 2- | | | |
| > 1.5- | | | |
| 1- | | | |
| 0.5- | | | |
| 0- | | | |
| | 0.05 0.1 0 | .5 1 5 10 50 100 500 1000 Particle Diameter (µm) | |
| Volume Calcula | Statistics (Arithmetic | :) Slag PCC Calcite_01.\$ls to 2000 μm | |

| Volume: Mean: Median: D(3,2): Mode: | 100% 53.19 μm 41.08 μm 5.175 μm 60.52 μm | | S.D.: Variance: Skewness: Kurtosis: | 44.05 μm 1940 μm ² 1.719 Right skewed 5.335 Leptokurtic |
|---|--|----------|--|---|
| <10% | <20% | <50% | <80% | <90% |
| 10.34 µm | 18.30 μm | 41.08 μm | 84.26 µm | 112.4 µm |





LS Particle Size Analyzer

23 Dec 2014 10:47



Volume Statistics (Arithmetic)

000Aragonite_03.\$ls

Calculations from 0.040 µm to 2000 µm

| Volume: Mean: Median: D(3,2): Mode: | 100% 32.40 μm 26.89 μm 3.044 μm 37.97 μm | | S.D.: Variance: Skewness: Kurtosis: | 31.67 μm 1003 μm ² 1.966 Right skewed 5.498 Leptokurtic |
|---|--|----------|--|---|
| <10% | <20% | <50% | <80% | <90% |
| 1.869 µm | 5.238 μm | 26.89 μm | 50.31 μm | 66.31 µm |



ANALYSES OF CAKES AND FILTRATES

Chloride contents of 19 filtered cakes and 23 filtrate solutions (wash filtrates) were analyzed at ORC. The moisture contents of the cakes were additionally analyzed.

Moisture contents

The moisture contents of the filtered cakes were analyzed from approx. 50 g filtered samples (wet samples) which were dried in a laboratory oven at 60°C in air. The drying time was 38 h 15 min. The moisture contents calculated from the mass losses of the samples are given in Table A.

| Sample name | Wet sample | Dry sample | Weight loss | Moisture |
|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| | (g) | (g) | (g) | (wt.%) |
| PPC CAKE #2 | 51.34 | 40.39 | 10.95 | 21.33 |
| PPC CAKE #3 DRIED | 41.73 | 41.31 | 0.42 | 1.01 |
| PPC CAKE #4 DRIED | 50.15 | 49.79 | 0.36 | 0.72 |
| PPC CAKE #6 | 51.10 | 44.97 | 6.13 | 12.00 |
| PPC CAKE #7 | 52.06 | 45.85 | 6.21 | 11.93 |
| PPC CAKE #8 | 50.27 | 38.36 | 11.91 | 23.69 |
| PPC CAKE #9 | 49.60 | 39.16 | 10.44 | 21.05 |
| PPC CAKE #10 | 50.23 | 37.65 | 12.58 | 25.04 |
| PPC CAKE #11 | 51.73 | 39.49 | 12.24 | 23.66 |
| PPC CAKE #12 | 50.51 | 44.62 | 5.89 | 11.66 |
| PPC CAKE #13 | 49.08 | 42.48 | 6.60 | 13.45 |
| PPC CAKE #14 | 51.16 | 45.55 | 5.61 | 10.97 |
| PPC CAKE #15 | 54.58 | 47.08 | 7.50 | 13.74 |
| PPC CAKE #16 | 50.39 | 37.49 | 12.90 | 25.60 |
| PPC CAKE #17 | 52.49 | 38.85 | 13.64 | 25.99 |
| PPC CAKE #18 | 61.05 | 43.98 | 17.07 | 27.96 |
| PPC CAKE #19 | 50.31 | 37.42 | 12.89 | 25.62 |
| PPC CAKE #20 | 51.41 | 36.82 | 14.59 | 28.38 |
| PPC CAKE #21 | 50.19 | 37.14 | 13.05 | 26.00 |

Table A. Moisture contents of the filtered cakes.

Chloride analyses

The chloride contents of the filtered cakes were determined as follows. A sample (approx. 10 g) was mixed with 100 ml (= 100 g) ion exchanged water. The suspension was stirred vigorously with a magnetic stirrer for 60 min. The suspension was filtered and the chloride content of the clear water solution was analyzed by an ion chromatograph (IC DX-120). In the case of the lowest chloride contents (cakes #6, #7, #10, #11), potentiometric titration with AgNO₃ was used instead of ion chromatography. In these analyses, increased sample weights (approx. 25 g) were also used.



The chloride contents corresponding to both wet cake and dry cake were calculated from the analyzed chloride concent of the solution. The results are summarized in Table B. The assumption for measuring the chloride contents by this manner was that all the chlorides in the filtered cake are water-soluble. It was also assumed that the chlorides were situated on the particle surfaces, and not locked inside the particles, so they were able to dissolve into water during stirring of the suspension. Two stirring times (30 min and 60 min) were tested before starting the analysis series, and they were found to give almost identical results. For the analysis series, the longer stirring time (60 min) was adopted.

| | Wet sample | Moisture | Chloride content, Cl | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sample name | | | Analyzed from H ₂ O | Amount in 100 g H ₂ O | Chloride in wet cake | Chloride in dry cake | Chloride in dry cake |
| | (g) | (wt.%) | (mg/l) | (g) | (wt.%) | (wt.%) | (ppm) |
| PPC CAKE #2 | 10.2736 | 21.33 | 810 | 0.081 | 0.7884 | 1.0022 | 10022 |
| PPC CAKE #3 DRIED | 10.2162 | 1.01 | 865 | 0.087 | 0.8467 | 0.8553 | 8553 |
| PPC CAKE #4 DRIED | 11.5981 | 0.72 | 1010 | 0.101 | 0.8708 | 0.8771 | 8771 |
| PPC CAKE #6 | 25.1712 | 12.00 | 4.0 | 0.0004 | 0.0016 | 0.0018 | 18 |
| PPC CAKE #7 | 25.1886 | 11.93 | 2.2 | 0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0.0010 | 10 |
| PPC CAKE #8 | 10.4784 | 23.69 | 1210 | 0.121 | 1.1548 | 1.5133 | 15133 |
| PPC CAKE #9 | 10.0344 | 21.05 | 20 | 0.002 | 0.0199 | 0.0252 | 252 |
| PPC CAKE #10 | 25.7072 | 25.04 | 0.6 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 3 |
| PPC CAKE #11 | 26.5793 | 23.66 | 9.8 | 0.0010 | 0.0037 | 0.0048 | 48 |
| PPC CAKE #12 | 10.6054 | 11.66 | 530 | 0.053 | 0.4997 | 0.5657 | 5657 |
| PPC CAKE #13 | 12.7236 | 13.45 | 640 | 0.064 | 0.5030 | 0.5812 | 5812 |
| PPC CAKE #14 | 12.0636 | 10.97 | 500 | 0.050 | 0.4145 | 0.4655 | 4655 |
| PPC CAKE #15 | 10.5986 | 13.74 | 10 | 0.001 | 0.0094 | 0.0109 | 109 |
| PPC CAKE #16 | 10.4817 | 25.60 | 1050 | 0.105 | 1.0017 | 1.3464 | 13464 |
| PPC CAKE #17 | 10.3793 | 25.99 | 1075 | 0.108 | 1.0357 | 1.3993 | 13993 |
| PPC CAKE #18 | 12.1810 | 27.96 | 950 | 0.095 | 0.7799 | 1.0826 | 10826 |
| PPC CAKE #19 | 12.3742 | 25.62 | 410 | 0.041 | 0.3313 | 0.4455 | 4455 |
| PPC CAKE #20 | 12.8399 | 28.38 | 55 | 0.006 | 0.0428 | 0.0598 | 598 |
| PPC CAKE #21 | 10.6810 | 26.00 | 10 | 0.001 | 0.0094 | 0.0127 | 127 |

Table B. Chloride contents of the filtered cakes.



The chloride contents of the wash filtrates were analyzed by ion chromatography. The results are in Table C.

Table C. Chloride contents of the wash filtrates.

| Sample name | Chloride content | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | (mg/l) | | | |
| 7.1 wash filtrate | 35000 | | | |
| 7.2 wash filtrate | 2010 | | | |
| 7.3 wash filtrate | 40 | | | |
| CALCITE ML AINO KII #2 | 34000 | | | |
| CALCITE ML ARTO SII #3 | 35000 | | | |
| WF # 9 | 15000 | | | |
| WF # 10 | 8230 | | | |
| WF # 11 | 3500 | | | |
| WF # 14 | 33000 | | | |
| WF # 15 15.1 | 210 | | | |
| WF # 15 15.2 | 85 | | | |
| WF # 19 | 24000 | | | |
| WF # 20 | 17000 | | | |
| WF # 21 | 8800 | | | |
| ML # 9 | 35000 | | | |
| ML # 10 | 35000 | | | |
| ML # 11 | 35000 | | | |
| ML # 12 | 35000 | | | |
| ML # 14 | 36000 | | | |
| ML # 16 | 37000 | | | |
| ML # 19 | 39000 | | | |
| ML # 20 | 39000 | | | |
| ML # 21 | 40000 | | | |